

REPORT TO FORMARTINE AREA COMMITTEE – 10 DECEMBER 2019

POLICE SCOTLAND - PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND OPERATIONAL UPDATE - JULY TO SEPTEMBER 2019 (QUARTER 2)

1. Reason for Report/Summary

- 1.1 The reason for this report is to inform members how Police Scotland is performing locally in Formartine against key priorities in the local policing plan.

2 Recommendations

The Committee is recommended to:

- 2.1 note the attached performance monitoring information relating to the Aberdeenshire component of the North East Division of Police Scotland for the period July-September 2019.**

3 Purpose and Decision-Making Route

- 3.1 Informal meetings with Area Committees have taken place since Police Scotland formed on 1 April 2013. As a result of a request from Policy and Resources Committee and Area Committees it was agreed to undertake a programme of informal and formal meetings with Councillors through 2019/20 and that the attached performance reports at Appendix 1 would be provided at the formal meetings.

4. Discussion

- 4.1 In terms of the Police and Fire Reform (Scotland) Act 2012, it is worth noting the respective powers and duties that are relevant to the Committee's consideration of police matters.

The Chief Constable is responsible for:

- policing of Scotland and must account to the Scottish Police Authority
- ensuring adequate arrangements are in place for the policing of each local authority area
- designating a constable as Divisional Commander after consultation with the local authority

The Divisional Commander is responsible for:

- involving the local authority in setting of priorities and objectives for policing of its area
- providing to the local authority information it may reasonably require
- preparing and submitting a local police plan to their local authority for approval and, if approved, publishing the local police plan
- preparing and submitting replacement plan to the local authority for approval following a review (local police plans must be reviewed at least once every 3 years)

The local authority may:

- monitor and provide feedback to the Divisional Commander on policing of its area
- specify policing measures it wishes the Divisional Commander to include in a local policing plan

5. Implications and Risks

5.1 The table below shows whether risks and implications apply if the recommendations are agreed.

Subject	Yes	No	N/A
Financial			X
Staffing			X
Equalities		X	
Fairer Scotland Duty		X	
Town Centre First			X
Sustainability			X
Children and Young People's Rights and Wellbeing			X

5.2 This report is principally designed to advise members of the performance of the police service for the relevant quarter. An equality impact assessment is not required because there will be no differential impact, as a result of the report, on people with protected characteristics.

5.3 There are no specific staffing or financial implications arising from this report.

6. Scheme of Governance

6.1 The Head of Finance and Monitoring Officer within Business Services have been consulted in the preparation of this report. Their comments are incorporated within the report and they are satisfied that the report complies with the Scheme of Governance and relevant legislation.

6.2 The Committee is able to consider this item in terms of Section B.1.8 of the List of Committee Powers in Part 2A of the Scheme of Governance as the Area Committee is authorised to consider the performance of Police Scotland and to make any necessary recommendations to the appropriate Policy committee or governing body.

Ritchie Johnson
Director of Business Services

Rob Sturton
Chief Inspector

	Formartine Area Committee				Turriff and District MMW				Mid Formartine MMW				Ellon and District MMW			
	Apr 2018 - Sept 2018	Apr 2019 - Sept 2019	Numeric Change	% Change	Apr 2018 - Sept 2018	Apr 2019 - Sept 2019	Numeric Change	% Change	Apr 2018 - Sept 2018	Apr 2019 - Sept 2019	Numeric Change	% Change	Apr 2018 - Sept 2018	Apr 2019 - Sept 2019	Numeric Change	% Change
RECORDED																
Total No Group1: Crimes of Violence	7	18	11	157.1%	1	3	2	200.0%	1	8	7	700.0%	5	7	2	40.0%
Serious Assault	4	7	3	75.0%	-	1	1	x	1	5	4	400.0%	3	1	-2	-66.7%
Robbery	1	2	1	100.0%	-	-	0	x	-	1	1	x	1	1	0	0.0%
Number of Group 2 - Crimes of Indecency	27	35	8	29.6%	10	16	6	60.0%	9	12	3	33.3%	8	7	-1	-12.5%
Petty (common) assault	89	80	-9	-10.1%	27	26	-1	-3.7%	17	14	-3	-17.6%	45	40	-5	-11.1%
Theft by housebreaking (including attempts)	22	12	-10	-45.5%	4	8	4	100.0%	8	1	-7	-87.5%	10	3	-7	-70.0%
Theft by shoplifting	24	39	15	62.5%	5	17	12	240.0%	7	9	2	28.6%	12	13	1	8.3%
Vandalism & Malicious Mischief	56	76	20	35.7%	22	33	11	50.0%	13	15	2	15.4%	21	28	7	33.3%
Motor Vehicle Crime	10	12	2	20.0%	4	5	1	25.0%	4	5	1	25.0%	2	2	0	0.0%
DETECTED																
Total No Group1: Crimes of Violence	6	16	10	166.7%	-	3	3	x	1	7	6	600.0%	5	6	1	20.0%
Serious Assault	4	7	3	75.0%	-	1	1	x	1	5	4	400.0%	3	1	-2	-66.7%
Robbery	1	2	1	100.0%	-	-	0	x	-	1	1	x	1	1	0	0.0%
Number of Group 2 - Crimes of Indecency	14	12	-2	-14.3%	4	8	4	100.0%	4	3	-1	-25.0%	6	1	-5	-83.3%
Petty (common) assault	49	59	10	20.4%	14	22	8	57.1%	10	11	1	10.0%	25	26	1	4.0%
Theft by housebreaking (including attempts)	6	2	-4	-66.7%	-	1	1	x	2	1	-1	-50.0%	4	-	-4	-100.0%
Theft by shoplifting	20	23	3	15.0%	2	12	10	500.0%	8	2	-6	-75.0%	10	9	-1	-10.0%
Vandalism & Malicious Mischief	10	23	13	130.0%	4	16	12	300.0%	2	3	1	50.0%	4	4	0	0.0%
Motor Vehicle Crime	3	3	0	0.0%	1	-	-1	-100.0%	1	3	2	200.0%	1	-	-1	-100.0%
Number of detections for drugs supply, drugs productions, drugs cultivation	11	13	2	18.2%	1	4	3	300.0%	6	4	-2	-33.3%	4	5	1	25.0%
DETECTION RATE																
					% Point Change								% Point Change			
Total No Group1: Crimes of Violence Detection Rate	85.7%	88.9%	x	3.2	0.0%	100.0%	x	100.0	100.0%	87.5%	x	-12.5	100.0%	85.7%	x	-14.3
Serious Assault detection rate	100.0%	100.0%	x	0.0	x	100.0%	x	100.0	100.0%	100.0%	x	0.0	100.0%	100.0%	x	0.0
Robbery detection rate	100.0%	100.0%	x	0.0	x	x	x	0.0	x	100.0%	x	100.0	100.0%	100.0%	x	0.0
Group 2 crimes detection rate	51.9%	34.3%	x	-17.6	40.0%	50.0%	x	10.0	44.4%	25.0%	x	-19.4	75.0%	14.3%	x	-60.7
Petty (Common) assault detection rate	55.1%	73.8%	x	18.7	51.9%	84.6%	x	32.8	58.8%	78.6%	x	19.7	55.6%	65.0%	x	9.4
Theft by housebreaking (including attempts) detection rate	27.3%	16.7%	x	-10.6	0.0%	12.5%	x	12.5	25.0%	100.0%	x	75.0	40.0%	0.0%	x	-40.0
Theft by shoplifting detection rate	83.3%	59.0%	x	-24.4	40.0%	70.6%	x	30.6	114.3%	22.2%	x	-92.1	83.3%	69.2%	x	-14.1
Vandalism & Malicious Mischief detection rate	17.9%	30.3%	x	12.4	18.2%	48.5%	x	30.3	15.4%	20.0%	x	4.6	19.0%	14.3%	x	-4.8
Motor Vehicle Crime detection rate	30.0%	25.0%	x	-5.0	25.0%	0.0%	x	-25.0	25.0%	60.0%	x	35.0	50.0%	0.0%	x	-50.0
Number of complaints regarding disorder	600	569	-31	-5.2%	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	149	156	7	4.7%	451	413	-38	-8.4%